Applicant: POTHAPRAGADA et al. Attorney's Docket No.: 07575-033002

Scrial No.: 10/068,352 Filed: February 4, 2002

Page : 6 of 6

REMARKS

Claim I was pending and stands rejected. The applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-16. Reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested in view of the amendment and the following remarks.

SECTION 101 REJECTION

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,389,432. The applicant has cancelled claim 1 and added new claims 2-16, of which claims 2, 6, 12, and 13 are in independent form. Support for claims 2, 6, 12, and 13 can be found in the specification at least at page 15, lines 3-9, page 16, lines 1-5, and page 19, line 32 - page 20, line 23. Each of the new claims includes a limitation not recited by any of the claims of the prior application. Accordingly, there is no double patenting in the instant application.

The applicant respectfully requests that all pending claims be allowed. Please apply \$198 (\$110 for a one-month extension of time and \$88 for an excess independent claim) as well as any other appropriate charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Tim H. Pham Reg. No. 48,589

Date: November 8, 2004

Fish & Richardson P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500

Redwood City, California 94063

Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071

50246279.doc